

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/068,761	Applicant(s) DIACAKIS ET AL.	
	Examiner Thomas Duong	Art Unit 2145	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas Duong.

(2) Patrick Jewik, Reg. No. 40,456.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



JASON CARDONE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ex.Duong left a message for Mr.Jewik, the attorney of record at Townsend, Townsend & Crew LLP, regarding the status of the application since a timely response was not received. Mr.Jewik, returned the call and indicated that it is the clients' intention to abandon the application.